

Representing the interests of the communities of Windermere, Bowness and Troutbeck Bridge

Minutes of the Meeting conducted via Zoom of the Planning sub-committee $$24^{\rm th}$$ February 2021 at 7pm

Minute No	
	Participants: Cllrs Jenny Borer (Chair), Adrian Legge, Christine Cook, Cath Musetti. Cllrs Fiona Wilkinson & Peter Hamilton. Also Julie Hartley, Town Clerk, Adrian Faulkner (Chamber of Trade).
241.	Apologies for Absence Accepted: Cllrs Alan Hands & Sandra Lilley
242.	Minutes: The minutes of the meeting held on 27 th January 2021 were approved for signature.
243.	Declarations of Interest: NONE
244.	Requests for Dispensations: NONE RECEIVED
245.	Public & Town Councillor Input: <u>Adrian Faulkner</u> , Secretary of the Chamber of Trade addressed the sub-committee on 7/2021/5060: St Anne's Elleray School public car parking proposal. He underlined that this application has the support of the Chamber of Trade and local businesses and that he had written to LDNPA to that effect. He added that in recent years, car parking spaces for visitors have actually decreased, due to the changes to parking in Broad Street. He was aware of local residents concerns but feels that good signage from St Anne's to the centre of Windermere would go some way to address these.
	<u>Cllr Fiona Wilkinson</u> spoke on the same item to clarify that, when she first became aware of the application, she had significant concerns about developing a public car park in school grounds. However, she has been somewhat reassured by the detailed information that the public car park can only be used when the school is closed (school holidays and weekends up to 6pm). Cllr Wilkinson also had a question of detail about the application 7/2021/5088 change of use at Ellerdene, which Cllr Legge was able to answer.
	<u>Cllr Peter Hamilton</u> wished to bring to the sub-committee's attention the expansion of outdoor use of the pavement areas in Bowness and particularly Ash Street outside the Fizzy Tarte. He explained the danger this poses to pedestrians, who are forced onto the busy roads. The Chair explained that pavement café licensing is the responsibility of Cumbria County Council and that they have been alerted many times over the past few years as to the situation in Bowness. The Clerk agreed to provide more detail to Cllr Hamilton of the activity over the last 2 years.
246.	Member/Clerk Announcements: NONE



Representing the interests of the communities of Windermere, Bowness and Troutbeck Bridge

Minutes of the Meeting conducted via Zoom of the Planning sub-committee 24th February 2021 at 7pm

247.	Planning Applications:
	The following recommendations were agreed:
	a) 7/2021/5036: The Holt, Storrs, Bowness: Demolition of existing flat roofed
	garage/utility. External alterations and erection of two single storey extensions and
	porch. (18/2)
	<u>Recommend Approval: Improved design to the building.</u>
	b) 7/2021/5047: 23, Windermere Park, Windermere: Remove existing steel balcony and
	external steps and replace with a new, enlarged balcony and new steps. $(23/2)$
	<u>Recommend Approval</u> : No detrimental impact on neighbouring properties.
	c) 7/2021/5043: Matson Ground, Windermere: Horsebox Canopy (22/2)
	<u>Recommend Approval:</u> The proposed structure will be similar in appearance to nearby
	agricultural buildings.
	d) 7/2021/5053: Crown Rigg, Brantfell Road, Bowness: Removal of garage roof, erection
	of extension over existing garage & external staircase $(1/3)$
	<u>Recommend Approval:</u> A well designed extension which will complement the existing
	building.
	e) 7/2020/5862: Rocklands, Newby Bridge Road, Bowness: Construction of day-room with
	boat store below. (4/3)
	<u>Cartmel Fell Parish Council.</u> No comment.
	f) 7/2021/5076: Calm Waters, Newby Bridge Road, Bowness: Amendment to external
	material for extension, condition 2 (plans) on planning permission 7/2019/5488 for
	extensions to boat house (8/3)
	<u>Cartmel Fell Parish Council.</u> No comment.
	g) 7/2021/5020: Arosa, Spooner Vale, Windermere: Demolition of existing house and
	erection of new 4 bedroom house with integrated garage $(9/3)$
	<u>Recommend Refusal:</u> The building proposed is incongruous to its surroundings and does
	not in any way reflect the local vernacular. It is wholly out of keeping within the Lake
	District National Park.
	h) 7/2021/5060: St Anne's Elleray School, Windermere: Change of use of existing school
	car park to include public parking including the installation of two pay and display ticket
	machines (5/3)
	<u>No objections:</u> The Town Council have highlighted many times the importance of
	developing further visitor parking in the area, recognizing the pressure on road use in the
	summer months and to reduce the incidence of illegal high season parking, which leads
	to a variety of risks to the community. The sub-committee is aware of local objections



Representing the interests of the communities of Windermere, Bowness and Troutbeck Bridge

Minutes of the Meeting conducted via Zoom of the Planning sub-committee 24th February 2021 at 7pm

	from residents of Elleray Mews and understands the concerns that have been voiced to the LDNPA. However, they are confident that the issues that are raised by Elleray Mews could be dealt with by:
	• The installation of clear signposting, which gives a clear route into central Windermere and respects the privacy of local residents;
	• This could also include looking into the feasibility of a safe footpath at the side of the main A591 road.
	 Installation of litter and dog litter bins and appropriate signage.
	i) 7/2021/5069: Louper Weir, Newby Bridge Rd, Bowness: Retrospective application for change of use from garage to 2no. holiday let apartments (9/3)
	Cartmel Fell Parish Council. No comment.
	 j) 7/2021/5088: Ellerdene, Ellerthwaite Road, Windermere: Change of Use from Guest House to Residential Education Facility for Students Aged 18-25 Years with Education Health and Care Plan (10/3)
	No objections. However, Town Councillors have not been able to locate a notice outside
	the property which would alert neighbours as to the proposed development. They
	recommend that the LDNPA make efforts to check that neighbours are aware and are
	comfortable with the proposal.
248.	Tree Applications: There were no objections to the following applications:
	a)T/2021/0014: Cedar Manor Hotel, Windermere: Remove 1 multi stemmed holly (T1) & remove 1 holly (T2) (22/2)
	b)T/2021/0021: Windermere Hydro Hotel: T-1, Portuguese Laurel, removal due to it causing damage to a retain wall which adjoins the public highway (8/3)
	c)T/2021/0020: Storrs Hall Hotel: Permission sought to carry out all recommended tree safety work as per tree report (8/3)
	d)T/2021/0025: Fir Trees, Bowness: Copper beech tree - reduce crown height and radius by 1.5-2.5m (16/3)
249.	For Information items: 7/2021: Hill Rising, Bowness: Siting of a Keops Interlock Brambling Mobile home in the garden.
	The sub-committee understands that this is purely a technical matter of law and has been sent to the Town Council for information only. However, there are significant concerns that this could become the siting of a permanent and large mobile home which could impact on



Representing the interests of the communities of Windermere, Bowness and Troutbeck Bridge

Minutes of the Meeting conducted via Zoom of the Planning sub-committee 24th February 2021 at 7pm

 neighbours' privacy and amenity and asks that, if possible, the LDNPA look into that before making a ruling. The Clerk was asked to convey this to the LDNPA planner. 250. Licensing Applications: The sub-committee reviewed the one licensing application received from SLDC: Fallbarrow Holiday Park: Application for a full variation to the premises licence. The deadline for responses on this is 11 March 2021 and the members of the sub-committe they need more time to look at the detail online. It was agreed that they would send comments to the Chair and Clerk by Friday 5th March, to enable a response to be pre It was highlighted that licensing is not currently part of the sub-committee's terms of reference but that, given the increasing number of problems that are being experient Bowness with late night drinking and noise pollution, new applications should be scruc closely. The Clerk was asked to review the sub-committee terms of reference and m appropriate recommendation to Town Council. 251. Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were r 252. Follow Up List The follow up list was reviewed and amended where necessary with additions being to the information on Highfield, provided by ClIr Borer and on the Former Nat West E provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this re and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were cleas stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Control planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the to	•
 250. Licensing Applications: The sub-committee reviewed the one licensing application received from SLDC: Fallbarrow Holiday Park: Application for a full variation to the premises licence. The deadline for responses on this is 11 March 2021 and the members of the sub-committ they need more time to look at the detail online. It was agreed that they would send comments to the Chair and Clerk by Friday 5th March, to enable a response to be pre- It was highlighted that licensing is not currently part of the sub-committee's terms of reference but that, given the increasing number of problems that are being experient Bowness with late night drinking and noise pollution, new applications should be scru- closely. The Clerk was asked to review the sub-committee terms of reference and m appropriate recommendation to Town Council. 251. Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were re 522. Follow Up List The follow up list was reviewed and amended where necessary with additions being to the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West B provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this refund understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to a the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Control planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court. 	Issue
 The sub-committee reviewed the one licensing application received from SLDC: Fallbarrow Holiday Park: Application for a full variation to the premises licence. The deadline for responses on this is 11 March 2021 and the members of the sub-committ they need more time to look at the detail online. It was agreed that they would send comments to the Chair and Clerk by Friday 5th March, to enable a response to be pre- lit was highlighted that licensing is not currently part of the sub-committee's terms of reference but that, given the increasing number of problems that are being experien Bowness with late night drinking and noise pollution, new applications should be scru- closely. The Clerk was asked to review the sub-committee terms of reference and m appropriate recommendation to Town Council. 251. Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were re Follow Up List The follow up list was reviewed and amended where necessary with additions being to the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West B provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hol planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this re- and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to a the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clead stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contro- planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer- The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court. 	
 Fallbarrow Holiday Park: Application for a full variation to the premises licence. The deadline for responses on this is 11 March 2021 and the members of the sub-committe they need more time to look at the detail online. It was agreed that they would send comments to the Chair and Clerk by Friday 5th March, to enable a response to be pread it was highlighted that licensing is not currently part of the sub-committee's terms or reference but that, given the increasing number of problems that are being experient Bowness with late night drinking and noise pollution, new applications should be scruclosely. The Clerk was asked to review the sub-committee terms of reference and m appropriate recommendation to Town Council. Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were refore on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West B provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents or Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hol planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this rea and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were cleated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Control planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court. 	
 deadline for responses on this is 11 March 2021 and the members of the sub-committed they need more time to look at the detail online. It was agreed that they would send comments to the Chair and Clerk by Friday 5th March, to enable a response to be predit was highlighted that licensing is not currently part of the sub-committee's terms or reference but that, given the increasing number of problems that are being experient Bowness with late night drinking and noise pollution, new applications should be scruclosely. The Clerk was asked to review the sub-committee terms of reference and m appropriate recommendation to Town Council. 251. Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were reprovided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hold planning of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to a the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Control planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concert The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court. 	
 they need more time to look at the detail online. It was agreed that they would send comments to the Chair and Clerk by Friday 5th March, to enable a response to be prease it was highlighted that licensing is not currently part of the sub-committee's terms of reference but that, given the increasing number of problems that are being experient Bowness with late night drinking and noise pollution, new applications should be scruclosely. The Clerk was asked to review the sub-committee terms of reference and m appropriate recommendation to Town Council. 251. Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were responsed by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this reasons for recommending refusal of the sub-control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to a the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Control planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concert The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court. 	
 comments to the Chair and Clerk by Friday 5th March, to enable a response to be predit was highlighted that licensing is not currently part of the sub-committee's terms of reference but that, given the increasing number of problems that are being experient Bowness with late night drinking and noise pollution, new applications should be scruclosely. The Clerk was asked to review the sub-committee terms of reference and mappropriate recommendation to Town Council. 251. Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were response to the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West B provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this reasons for recommending refusal were cleated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contror planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concert The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court. 	
 It was highlighted that licensing is not currently part of the sub-committee's terms of reference but that, given the increasing number of problems that are being experient Bowness with late night drinking and noise pollution, new applications should be scruclosely. The Clerk was asked to review the sub-committee terms of reference and m appropriate recommendation to Town Council. 251. Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were restained by LDNPA. The follow up list was reviewed and amended where necessary with additions being to the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West P provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this reasons for recommending of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were cleated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contror planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concert The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court. 	
 reference but that, given the increasing number of problems that are being experient Bowness with late night drinking and noise pollution, new applications should be scruclosely. The Clerk was asked to review the sub-committee terms of reference and m appropriate recommendation to Town Council. 251. Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were reference by LDNPA. The follow up list was reviewed and amended where necessary with additions being to the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West E provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this reand understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were cleated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Control planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concert The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court. 	
 Bowness with late night drinking and noise pollution, new applications should be scruclosely. The Clerk was asked to review the sub-committee terms of reference and m appropriate recommendation to Town Council. Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were restarted to the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West B provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this reason durated by residents. However, it was decided not to the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were cleated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Control planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concert The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court. 	
closely. The Clerk was asked to review the sub-committee terms of reference and m appropriate recommendation to Town Council.251.Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were n252.Follow Up List The follow up list was reviewed and amended where necessary with additions being to the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West B provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hod planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this refu and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to a the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were cleated stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Control planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concert The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	
 appropriate recommendation to Town Council. 251. Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were restrict the planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were restrict to the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West B provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this rest and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to a the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were cleated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Control planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concert The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court. 	
 Planning Decisions: The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were restant of the planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were restant of the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West B provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this reasons for recommending refusal were cleated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Control planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concert The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court. 	ake an
The planning decisions of the Lake District National Park listed on the agenda were r252.Follow Up ListThe follow up list was reviewed and amended where necessary with additions being to the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West Bprovided by LDNPA.Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this read and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to a the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were cleated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contro planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concerted to the Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	
252. Follow Up List The follow up list was reviewed and amended where necessary with additions being to the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West E provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this reand understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were cleated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Control planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concert The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	
The follow up list was reviewed and amended where necessary with additions being to the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West B provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this re- and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Control planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	ioted.
to the information on Highfield, provided by Cllr Borer and on the Former Nat West B provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this re- and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to a the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contro- planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	
provided by LDNPA. Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this re- and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to a the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contro- planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	
Under this item, the sub-committee also considered the request from the residents of Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this re- and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to a the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contro- planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer- The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	3ank, as
Belsfield Court for a member to attend the LDNPA Development Control meeting on to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this re- and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contro planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	
to re-iterate the reasons for Town Council recommending refusal of the Belsfield Hot planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this re- and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contro planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	
planning application (7/2020/5187 & 5188). There was a full consideration of this real and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contro planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	3 March
and understanding of the issues raised by residents. However, it was decided not to a the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contro planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	tel
the development control meeting as the reasons for recommending refusal were clear stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contro planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	
stated at the time and sent to the LDNPA, provoking referral to Development Contro planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	
planning officer report has provided sufficient response to the town council's concer The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	
The Clerk was asked to respond to the residents of Belsfield Court.	
	'ns.
The meeting was closed at 8.55pm	
253. Date of Next Meeting: Wednesday 24 th March 2021 at 7pm.	